

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,435	01/23/2004	John E. P. Syka	12671-042001	6074
26181 7	590 05/18/2005		EXAM	INER
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			GURZO, PAUL M	
PO BOX 1022				
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2881	-
		DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/764,435	SYKA, JOHN E. P.				
Office Action S	Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Paul Gurzo	2881				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF Th - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extension	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 ing date of this communication. is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ove, the maximum statutory period w nded period for reply will, by statute, r than three months after the mailing	'IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froic cause the application to become ABANDON date of this communication, even if timely file	imely filed ays will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to commi	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed or	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>1/23/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO	-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date				
Information Disclosure Statemen Paper No(s)/Mail Date	t(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/764,435

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-14 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoen et al. (5,089,703).

Regarding claim 1, 703 teaches a method of trapping or guiding ions comprising the steps of introducing ions into an ion trap or ion guide, the ion trap or ion guide including a first set of electrodes and a second set of electrodes, the first set of electrodes defining a first portion of an on channel to trap or guide the introduced ions, applying periodic voltages to electrodes in the first set of electrodes that radially confines the ions in the ion channel, and applying periodic voltages to electrodes in the second set of electrodes that axially confines the ions in the ion channel (col. 17, lines 32-42 and Fig. 8). 703 also teaches generating an oscillating electric potential (col. 19, lines 7-38), and though they do not explicitly teach a first and second oscillating electric potential, it is obvious that the first and second frequency application to the electrodes and the corresponding oscillations according the different frequencies will lead to a first and second oscillating electric potential. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have such potential applications so that the ions can be detected with increased resolution.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,435

Art Unit: 2881

Regarding claims 2-14, ion traps or guides operate with positive and negative ions introduced at the appropriate ends. 703 teaches the application of a DC voltage (bias) to the ion trap (guide) (col. 1, line 25) and a first and second, different voltage to the electrodes (col. 17, lines 32-42). These frequencies have a ratio of about two. Fig. 8 clearly depicts the claimed oscillating electric potential application, quadrupole, dipole potential, and first and second set of rod electrodes. It is obvious that the oscillating electric potentials are applied to the ions based on their mass to charge to ensure desired ion transmission and/or retention by providing the desired potential barrier.

Regarding claim 17, 703 teaches an apparatus comprising a first and second set of electrodes, the first set of electrodes arranged to define a first portion of an ion channel to trap or guide ions and a controller configured to apply periodic voltages to electrodes in the first set and the second set to establish a first oscillating electric potential and a second oscillating electric potential, wherein the first and second oscillating electric potentials have different spatial distributions and confine ions in the ion channel in radial and axial directions as stated above (col. 17, lines 32-42 and Fig. 8).

Regarding claims 18-22, ion traps or guides operate with positive and negative ions introduced at the appropriate ends. 703 teaches the application of a DC voltage (bias) to the ion trap (guide) (col. 1, line 25) and a first and second, different voltage to the electrodes (col. 17, lines 32-42). These frequencies have a ratio of about two. Fig. 8 clearly depicts the claimed first and second set of rod electrodes.

Claims 15, 16, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schoen et al. (5,089,703) in view of Wells (6,730,904).

Art Unit: 2881

Regarding claims 15, 16, 23, and 24, 703 does not explicitly teach plate ion lens electrodes. However, 904 teaches plate electrodes (58a-d) and the potential application to these plate electrodes will act to focus and transmit the ions, thus teaching on the claimed plate ion lens electrodes (col. 5, lines 36-38 and Fig. 3A-3C). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to such electrodes to ensure desired guiding or trapping of the ions.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Baba et al. (6,075,244)

Thompson et al. (6,111,250)

Senko (6,403,955)

Syka (6,844,547)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Gurzo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2472. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Fri. 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Lee can be reached at (571) 272-2477. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/764,435

Art Unit: 2881

Page 5

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PMG

VISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800